

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,800	04/10/2001	Bernadette McGee	1148 1902		
7	7590 02/21/2003				
ALAN ISRAEL ESQ KIRSCHSTEIN OTTINGER ISRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER P.C. 489 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			KRAMER, JAMES A		
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/829,800	INDENCE, BERNADETTE				
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James A. Kramer	3627				
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	is communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
 Failure to reply within the set or extended place. Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF 	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply view maximum statutory period will period for reply will, by statute, of three months after the mailing of	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	nation(a) filed on						
1) Responsive to communic							
2a) This action is FINAL .	• —	action is non-final.					
		nce except for formal matters, parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are pen	iding in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	-						
_							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are reject							
7) Claim(s) is/are object							
8) Claim(s) are subject	ct to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b)□ objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing cor	rection filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected draw	•						
12) The oath or declaration is o	•	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 an	d 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐							
1. Certified copies of t							
·	•	have been received in Applicat					
application from	the International Bure	y documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not receive	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made o		•					
<u> </u>	foreign language prov	isional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	or a diamin for domestic	p. 13/1/2 and 00 0.0.0. 33 12	o unu/or 121,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawii 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F	ng Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/829,800

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION PETITION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.182 filed on June 13, 2002 to change the surname of the Inventor from Indence to McGee is granted.

Power of Attorney

Examiner notes the accompanying Power of Attorney and will ensure that future correspondences are directed to the new attorney of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim recites the limitation "the hand-held device" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner believes that applicant intended to have this claim depend from claim 15 and will therefore interpret claim as such.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-23 as best interpreted by the Examiner are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gottsman et al. in view of Berlin et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,800

Art Unit: 3627

Gottsman teaches a method for advanced mobile shopping. In particular a shopper using a hand-held personal digital assistant (PDA) with an electro-optical scanner (column 1; lines 57-59) is able to capture product specific data (i.e. UPC code). Then, via a wireless modem attached to the PDA, the shopper can order a product by interacting with web server software utilizing an Intention Value Network.

Specifically, Gottsman teaches the user manually inputting preference data, based on his/her intentions (including delivery date) (column 37; lines 58-60 & 63-65). The Intention Value Network combines this preference data with the product specific data captured with the PDA and user specific data in the customer profile database. The system then sends this combined data to a Web/Application server that extracts the requested product information from supplier databases and customizes it based on the shopper's profile. After customization the system returns the data to the PDA where the customer can select a product and/or supplier and initiate purchase (column 38; lines 1-15).

Gottsman further teaches an Intention Value Network integrator which manages the relationship between the suppliers and the customer. In particular the integrator coordinates the suppliers' fulfillment of the consumer's intentions. It is inherent to the system that the product is delivered by the scheduled event data, since that date represents the customer's intentions. It is additionally inherent that the product can be delivered to a third party recipient, once again following the customer's intentions.

Finally as part of the Intention Value Network, Gottsman teaches an agent used to provide the customer with helpful tips and reminders. It is inherent to this system that a user could receive tips reminding them how much time they have left until the scheduled event (column 39; lines 27-30).

Gottsman does not teach visually inspecting the data entered.

Berlin teaches a method of distributing documents over a computer system where users have the ability to perform a visual inspection of the documents prior to accepting them for transmission. Berlin teaches visual inspection to ensure that a user agrees with the data before processing it. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the visual inspection of Berlin to the method of Gottsman in order

Art Unit: 3627

for a user to verify product data prior to submission to the Web/Application server, as well as to ensure that the user agrees with what will be transmitted.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9123 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ames Kramer

Patent Examiner

February 12, 2003

Hichard Chilcot

Upervisory Patent Examines

achnology Cemer 2000

560

Page 4